UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,594	12/20/2005	David Philip Williams	034279-011	9808
21839 7590 05/18/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	TRAN, HOANG Q		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/561,594 Examiner HOANG TRAN	WILLIAMS ET AL. Art Unit
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Art Unit
	HOANG TRAN	
		2874
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>HOANG TRAN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Roger Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 May 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 1 and 39.		
Claims discussed: 1, 4-8, 31, 34, and 39		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Hoang Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2874 (A _I	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After consulted with primary examiner Sung, Mr. Roger Lee was contacted by the examiner. The examiner indicated that claim 39 overcomes the prior art of record and further suggested Mr. Lee incorporate the limitation "wherein the boundary region comprises a plurality of relatively high refractive index boundary veins joined end-to-end around the core region at boundary nodes, each boundary node being joined between two boundary veins and at least one relatively high refractive index region of the cladding region, wherein the boundary region has at most tow fold rotational symmetry at least in the part due to one or more boundary veins having different properties than the other boundary veins or at least in part due to one or more boundary nodes having different properties than the other boundary nodes" of claim 39 into each of the independent claims in order to overcome the prior art of record. Upon receiving a formal response, further search and consideration will be made.